Silver, Bi$_2$Te$_3$ and Antimonene Based Surface Plasmon Resonance Sensor for Enhancement of Sensitivity
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Abstract

A biosensor based on the surface plasmon resonance has been theoretically analyzed. The proposed surface plasmon resonance (SPR) sensor consists of a hybrid structure with Ag/Bismuth telluride (Bi$_2$Te$_3$)/Antimonene (An)/Sensing medium layers. BK7 is used as the main coupling prism. The sensor’s sensitivity performance gets enhanced by depositing Bi$_2$Te$_3$ and antimonene layers over the conventional sensor’s configuration. The Ag, Bi$_2$Te$_3$, and antimonene layer optimized thickness were taken as 45 nm, 0.5 nm, and 0.5 nm, respectively. The proposed sensor has been working on attenuated total reflection. The proposed sensor shows the highest sensitivity of 154 degree/RIU, a signal-to-noise ratio of 0.19 degree$^{-1}$ with a figure of merit of 29.26 RIU$^{-1}$. The proposed SPR sensor can be used for bio analyte and biochemical detection.
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1 Introduction

The surface plasmon resonance optical phenomenon occurs at the metal-dielectric interface. The outcome of this mechanism gives rise to surface plasmons (SPs) which generally arise when free electrons accumulate in the cloud form over a metallic surface. The excitation of plasmons generally depends upon the angle of incidence at which the maximum absorption of incident waves occurs, giving rise to an evanescent wave. The optical biosensors facilitate the real-time monitoring of analytes; no requirement of tags, greater sensitivity, etc., are its merits [1–3]. Fields like medical, chemical, biological, food safety, and others use this optical sensing technology extensively [4–6]. Kretschmann’s configuration is generally employed to design SPR biosensors, and conventional design configuration includes a metal film placed above a glass prism [7]. Silver (Ag) is the most commonly used metal layer and shows a wave spectrum with sharp resonance and higher sensitivity due to an increased bio-molecular absorption rate [8]. Other metals like gold, aluminum, and nickel can also be employed for SPs generations in SPR sensors [9, 10]. Antimonene is a two-dimensional (2D) material with a honeycomb lattice structure. Its optical qualities of greater carrier mobility, suitable bandgap, and higher chemical performance make it suitable for SPR biosensors [11]. In this proposed study, the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ material is a topological insulator acting as a basic recognition element (BRE) material. It has greater mobility of carriers (467 cm$^2$/V-s). It enhances the sensitivity of the proposed biosensor [12].

A novel SPR design has been proposed in the suggested study, including an Ag-Bi$_2$Te$_3$-Antimonene-Sensing medium. The sensitivity, figure of merit, and signal-to-noise ratio (performance parameters) have been evaluated. Section 2 gives the modeling and analysis of the proposed sensor. Finally, the simulated results have been shown in Section 3.

2 Modelling and Analysis

2.1 Design Parameters

The proposed SPR biosensor comprises five layers, including metal and 2-D materials (Ag + An + Bi$_2$Te$_3$ + sensing media). The widths of these layers are $d_1 = 45$ nm (Ag), $d_2 = B \times 0.5$ nm (Bi$_2$Te$_3$), $d_3 = An \times 0.5$ nm (Antimonene), and the corresponding refractive index (RI) are 0.056206 + $i \times 4.2776$, $2.1 + i \times 0.45$, $2.761 + i \times 2.383$ considered, respectively. Here B and An denotes the number of layers of Bi$_2$Te$_3$ and antimonene, respectively.
The sensing medium is an aqueous solution (water) of RI = 1.33. This RI (Δn) change is due to the metallic surface’s bio-molecular interaction process (between analytes and immobilized ligands). The RI of the Ag metal is calculated using the Drude-Lorentz model, as given below [13]:

\[ n_{metal, Ag} = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_p^2 \ast \lambda_c}{\lambda_p^2(\lambda_c + \lambda \ast i)}\right)^{1/2} \]  

(1)

where \( \lambda_c, \lambda_p \) are collision and plasma wavelength, respectively. Its typical values are \( 1.4541 \times 10^{-7} \) and \( 17.614 \times 10^{-6} \) respectively. BK7 is used as a coupling prism. The input TM polarized wave with 633 nm is used in this study. The RI of the prism is 1.515 [14].

2.2 Mathematical Modeling

Mathematical modeling is to be done with the help of the Drude-Lorentz model and the Fresnel equation. The simulation is being carried out in MATLAB 2016a. The reflectance of incident TM p-polarized wave is investigated using an efficient transfer matrix method (TMM) which uses no approximations [15]. The proposed SPR sensor is shown in Figure 1.

With the z-axis, the layers are layered. The tangent fields at the first and final boundaries are related with the expression [16]:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X_1 \\
Y_1
\end{bmatrix} = Z
\begin{bmatrix}
X_{N-1} \\
Y_{N-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(2)

Where \( X_1 \) and \( Y_1 \) the electric and magnetic field components are at the first boundary. \( X_{N-1} \) and \( Y_{N-1} \) signifies the similar field components.

Figure 1  Proposed SPR sensor design.
for the final boundary. Z Indicates the characteristic transfer matrix of the sensor design. For TM mode (p-polarized wave), the transfer matrix is expressed as [17]:

\[ W = \prod_{k=2}^{n-1} W_k \]  

(3)

The tth layer matrix,

\[ W_t = \begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} \\ W_{21} & W_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha_t & \frac{(-i\sin \alpha_t)}/q_t \\ -i\alpha_t\sin \alpha_t & \cos \alpha_t \end{bmatrix} \]  

(4)

\( \alpha_t \) denotes the value of optical admittance. It is expressed by:

\[ \alpha_t = d_t \Upsilon_0 \sqrt{(\epsilon_t - n^2 \sin^2 \theta)} \]

and phase factor, \( q_t = \sqrt{(\epsilon_t - n^2 \sin^2 \theta)/\epsilon_t} \)

where \( \theta \) and \( \Upsilon_0 \) represents incidence angle & wave number in free space. For N – the layer SPR sensor, the value of reflectance is given by [18]:

\[ R_p = \left| \frac{(W_{11} + W_{12}q_n)q_p - (W_{21} + W_{22}q_n)}{(W_{11} + W_{12}q_n)q_p + (W_{21} + W_{22}q_n)} \right|^2 \]  

(5)

The performance of an SPR-based biosensor can be analyzed with the help of performance parameters [19]. Firstly, Sensitivity is given by:

\[ S = \frac{\delta \theta_{res}}{\Delta n} \text{(degree/RIU)} \]

Here, \( \delta \theta_{res} \) shows variation in resonance angle, and \( \delta n \) shows variation in RI of the sensing medium. The next parameter is signal to noise ratio is generally defined as:

\[ \text{SNR} = 1/\text{FWHM (degree}^{-1}) \]

This parameter gives the accuracy of our proposed sensor Figure of merit can be generally expressed as:

\[ \text{FOM} = S \times \text{SNR (RIU}^{-1}) \]

The desired values for these parameters are to be on the higher side. The greater the values are, the greater the performance of the proposed sensor.
3 Results and Discussions

Figure 2 gives the reflectivity plots for SPR curves with the angle of incidence for different layer combinations for two different RI of 1.33 and 1.34. It is to be noted that the dip in the SPR curve signifies the minimum reflectance or maximum absorption of light. With the help of Table 1, the values for sensitivity and change in resonance angle ($\delta\theta_{res}$) for the four possible cases are shown in Figure 2 [(a)–(d)], and the layer combination case for which maximum sensitivity is achieved (B = 1, An = 16) is tabulated. As clear from Table 1, the impact of adding both the B and An layers to the conventional SPR sensor design the sensitivity enhances.

The analysis made here signifies the impact of RI on the sensing medium. By increasing the number of layers of antimonene (from 1 to 16) and keeping the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ layer constant (B = 1), the maximum sensitivity achieved is 154 degrees/RIU. This shows that the sensitivity can be increased to some extent after increasing the value of the layer. After a limit, the
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**Figure 2** Reflectivity as a function of incidence angle for four different layer combination cases. (a) (B = 0, An = 0), (b) (B = 0, An = 1), (c) (B = 1, An = 0) and (d) (B = 1, An = 1).
sensitivity degrades even while increasing the number of layers keeping another layer constant. This is due to the decrement in the rate of light utilization.

Figure 3 gives the optimization curves for the silver layer. This width optimization plot shows the minimum reflectance variations for four widths (i.e., 35 nm, 40 nm, 45 nm, and 50 nm). The conclusion made with this plot is that the 45 nm thickness of the Ag layer has minimum reflectance, as minimum reflectance signifies the concept of SPR excitation at the metal-dielectric boundary. So, 45 nm width is the optimized value for the metal (Ag) layer. The next plot (Figure 4) is the outcome of varying a layer taking another layer value as constant. Case 1 gives the impact of increasing the Bi$_2$Te$_3$ ($B = 1$ to 7) layer and taking the monolayer of antimonene ($A_n = 1$). We see that the SPR curve width increases with the increase in the incident angle. Case 2 impacts reflectivity after varying the antimonene layers and keeping the constant monolayer of Bi$_2$Te$_3$. The trend followed by the SPR curves is almost the same.
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Figure 4  Reflectivity as a function of incidence angle for Case1, $An = 1$ and $B = \textit{varies}$ and case 2, $B = 1$ and $An = \textit{varies}$.

Table 2  Proposed and previous SPR designs comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>FOM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>Ag/Bi$_2$Te$_3$/An</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>29.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20]</td>
<td>Ag/graphene</td>
<td>91.76</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>Ag/graphene/MoS$_2$/TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>87.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>ZnO/Ag/Au/BaTiO$_3$/Graphene</td>
<td>116.67</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>37.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Comparative Analysis

A comparative analysis of the proposed study with the previous studies has been tabulated with the help of Table 2. Our proposed design’s high sensitivity indicates that our design materials improve the sensitivity of the SPR biosensor. The sensitivity of the proposed sensor attains its maximum value for sensitivity for sixteen antimonene and mono Bi$_2$Te$_3$ layers (i.e., $B = 1$, $An = 16$). The other parameters, like FOM, also get enhanced using the proposed structure with 29.26 RIU$^{-1}$. However, the value of SNR is in the acceptable range.
5 Conclusion

The modified Kretschmann’s configuration uses prism coupling for the SPR biosensor design. The optimized thickness of Ag is taken as 45 nm with 0.5 nm of both Bi$_2$Te$_3$ and antimonene. The maximum sensitivity attained here is 154 degree/RIU, FOM of 29.26 RIU$^{-1}$ and a signal-to-noise ratio of 0.19 degree$^{-1}$ has been achieved. The future scope of this proposed four-layer sensor design includes its application in various disease diagnoses like malaria, cancer, etc., or maybe in detecting different types of bacteria, viruses, etc.
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